



Docket No.: 251322US6



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/815,718
Applicants: Andre GABIOUD, et al.
Filing Date: April 2, 2004
For: ELECTRON TUBE CONTROL GRID
Group Art Unit: 2882
Examiner: MIDKIFF, ANASTASIA

SIR:


Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Comments on Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Gregory J. Maier
Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)
(703) 413-2220 (fax)

I:\ATTY\JW\251322US\251322 PTO CVR 11.5.07.doc

Joseph Wrkich
Registration No. 53,796

DOCKET NO: 251322US6



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF :
ANDRE GABIOUD, ET AL. : EXAMINER: MIDKUFF, ANASTASIA
SERIAL NO: 10/815,718 :
FILED: APRIL 2, 2004 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2882
FOR: ELECTRON TUBE CONTROL :
GRID :

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

The "Statement of Reasons For Allowance" included at page 3 of the Notice of Allowance mailed September 14, 2007 is misdescriptive of the claim language stated in Claim 14.

The Examiner's Statement, at page 3 of the Notice of Allowance states, "However, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a control grid wherein the grid further comprises a second bar substantially concentric with the central hub and fixed to the periphery of the first ring of first bars, as well as a second ring of first bars extending from the second bar, in the manner required by Claim 14."

Claim 14 recites

a first ring of bars, the bars evenly spaced out on a skewed surface and substantially extended as circle pseudo-involutes about a central hub, so as to form the first ring; and

a second ring of bars, the second ring arranged concentrically around the first ring, the bars evenly spaced out on a skewed surface and substantially extended as circle


pseudo-involutes about a central hub, so as to form the second ring.

To the extent that the Statement of Reasons for Allowance characterizes Claim 14 as stating that "a second bar substantially concentric with the central hub and fixed to the periphery of the first ring of first bars, as well as a second ring of first bars extending from the second bar," the Examiner's Statement miss-characterizes Claim 14 because no such limitation is stated or implied in Claim 14.

Accordingly, a revised statement of reasons for allowance is recommended and respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Gregory J. Maier

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000

Fax: (703) 413-2220

(OSMMN 08/07)

Joseph Wrkich

Registration No. 53,796